Energy Saving Behavior after the Great East Japan Earthquake Kaori ANDO (Nara Women's University) **Susumu OHNUMA (**Hokkaido University**)**

Back ground

Great East Japan Earthquake occurred in 11th March 2011, which caused unimaginable damage to the northern parts of Japan. Power shortage was caused by stop of the nuclear power plants. Large scale campaign was carried out to ask people to save electricity.

Research question

Did the electricity saving behaviors differ between the areas?

- Tohoku area had the severest damage by the earthquake. Is the electricity saving behavior highest in Tohoku area?
- What are the determinants of electric saving behavior after the Great East Japan Earthquake ?
 - Which of the mass media and personal communication have stronger effects on the behavior?

Method

Research period: April-June 2012 **Procedures:** The study was conducted in 5 areas in Japan (Hokkaido, Tohoku, Kanto, Chubu and Kansai Fig.1).

Respondents: University students from 7 universities in 5 areas in Japan.

The total number of the valid answers were 611.



Explosion at the nuclear power plant

Fig.1 Map of JAPAN and research area

Kar

Fukushima Daiichi





Part of the lights was turned off for electricity saving at the office



Part of the escalators was stopped for electricity saving at the station

Discussion

Cognition of the shortage of power supply Cognition of the electricity saving in public space Estimation of others' behaviors Personal communication Communication via mass media 2 Negative Attitudes toward nuclear power plants

- The results showed that the electricity saving behavior was the highest in Kanto area, which experienced the severest power shortage after the Earthquake. Tohoku area was the second highest in behavioral level.
- Personal communication was the stronger determinant of the behavior than communication via mass media.
- Cognition of the electricity saving in public space had impact on the behavior. It suggests that observing the electricity saving in public space may served as descriptive norm, that many people think electricity saving is necessary and desirable behavior.

Table.2 Determinants of electricity saving behaviors : Regression analysis

	в
ognition of the shortage of power supply	.15***
ognition of the electricity saving in public space	.21***
timation of others' behaviors	.16***
ersonal communication	.21***
ommunication via mass media	.08*
egative Attitudes toward nuclear power plants	.12***
ade	.03
X	.04
Value	29.40
	.27

*** *p* < .001 ** *p* < .01 * *p* < .05

Table.1 Means of behavioral and cognitive variables in each area

	Hokkaido	Tohoku	Kanto	Chubu	Kansai
	(<i>n</i> = 121)	(<i>n</i> = 43)	(<i>n</i> = 128)	(<i>n</i> = 125)	(<i>n</i> = 194)
M	2.36a	2.72ab	3.34b	2.64b	2.81c
5D	0.98	0.98	1.02	0.93	1.05
M	3.37a	4.12ab	4.36cd	3.62bc	3.91d
5D	1.11	0.98	0.77	1.24	1.00
M	2.26a	2.26a	2.33a	2.34a	2.39a
5D	0.56	0.57	0.64	0.56	0.58
M	2.14a	2.73b	2.57c	2.31ab	2.35bc
5D	0.87	1.00	0.90	0.93	0.90
M	2.76a	3.19b	3.11b	3.05b	3.19b
5D	0.98	0.98	0.94	0.98	0.89
M	3.33a	3.62a	3.73a	3.60a	3.72a
5D	1.33	1.24	1.04	1.16	0.99